

Psychological Health External Advisory Subcommittee

Charles J. Fogelman, Ph.D. Chair



Overview

- Subcommittee Membership
- October Meeting Summary
- Future Meetings
- Current Questions Posed to Subcommittee



Subcommittee Membership

- Dr. Charles Fogelman
- Dr. Robert Anders
- Dr. Richardean Benjamin
- Dr. Robert Certain
- Dr. Christopher Colenda
- RADM Peter Delany
- Dr. Thomas Detre
- Dr. John Fairbank
- Dr. Jesse Fann

- Dr. Kurt Kroenke
- Dr. John Krystal
- Dr. David Kupfer
- Dr. Brett Litz
- Dr. Shelley MacDermid Wadsworth
- Dr. James Campbell Quick
- Dr. Patricia Resick
- Dr. Thomas Uhde



October 19-20, 2009 Meeting Agenda & Briefers

- Subcommittee-Generated Questions: Guard Overview, Update, Issues, History, Current Status—CAPT Joan Hunter
- Reserve: Overview and Deployment Cycle Support for the Reserve and Interface with the Department of Veterans Affairs—Col Terry Washam
- Complicated Conditions: Department of Defense Task Force on the Prevention of Suicide by Members of the Armed Forces—Rev Robert Certain



October 19-20, 2009 Meeting Agenda & Briefers (Continued)

- Defense Centers of Excellence for Psychological Health and Traumatic Brain Injury Update—Dr. Sonja Batten
- Overarching Status of the Navy Reserve Psychological Health Initiatives—CAPT Virginia Torsch
- Navy Reserve Psychological Health Outreach Program—Ms. Karen Karadimov
- Overview/update: Army Reserve—Col Nicole Keesee
- Data Presentation—Dr. Michael Dinneen



Future Meetings

- Scheduled as follows:
 - December 3-4, 2009
 - Tentatively scheduled in the National Capital Region
- Future meetings will follow agenda template



Previous Question Tasked to the Psychological Health Subcommittee

- Request by Ms. Embrey, the DASD for Force Health Protection and Readiness Programs to review the Automated Neurocognitive Assessment Matrices (ANAM), which is a Pre-Deployment Neurocognitive Assessment Testing tool
 - Provide recommendations on use
 - Determine added value of sections on language, memory, attention, executive function, and cognition
 - Examine inclusion of symptoms and patient history, mood, and sleepiness scales, as well as, measures of response inhibition and effort



New Questions Posed to the Psychological Health Subcommittee

- What evidence-based metrics should the DoD use to measure the effectiveness of our preclinical programs supporting resilience, education, and counseling?
- What evidence-based metrics should the DoD use to measure the outcomes of our clinical mental health programs?



Questions?

Other Considerations?

Offers of Advice and Assistance?



Supplemental Slides



Subcommittee Priorities for Action

- Identification, coordination, and integration of care of patients with comorbid or complicated conditions
 - Family involvement
 - Issues pertaining to alcohol and substance abuse
 - Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder
 - Major realms, including:
 - Interpersonal violence
 - Stigma
 - Suicide
 - Impact on career



Subcommittee Priorities for Action (Continued)

Care delivery/systems

- Efficiency
 - Best practices
 - Dissemination
- Workforce
 - Leadership development
 - Training
 - Supervision
 - Cultural sensitivity
 - Diversity

Force robustness

- Resilience
- Prevention
- Protection



Agenda Template

- Administrative issues
- Review of questions tasked to Subcommittee
- Review of questions raised by Subcommittee
- Briefing on new issue/program
- Status or recent data regarding:
 - Treatment
 - Resilience
 - Descriptive analytical data
- Monitoring, guidance, and advice
- Feedback



Meeting Feedback Form

- Please provide a numerical ranking for each, where 1=Wretched and 7=Transcendent
 - Value to you in your role as a Subcommittee member
 - Value of to you in general
 - Substance, on average (name best if you wish)
 - Presenters, on average (name best if you wish)
 - Organization and flow of meeting



Meeting Feedback Form (cont)

- Compared to other Subcommittee meetings so far, on balance this one was:
 - Better
 - Worse
 - About the same
- Any suggestions about ways to improve, particularly in regard to organization and flow, but really in any realm?

Please provide a numerical ranking for each, where 1= Wretched and 7=Transcendent
Value to you in your role as a Subcommittee member
Value to you in general
Substance, on average (Name best if you wish)
Presenters, on average (Name best if you wish)
Organization and flow of meeting
Compared to other Subcommittee meetings so far, on balance this one was:
"Better" "Worse" "About the same"

Any suggestions about ways to improve, particularly in regard to organization and flow, but really in any realm?